

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF FOWLMERE PARISH COUNCIL PLANNING COMMITTEE  
HELD IN THE PAVILION ROOM, FOWLMERE VILLAGE HALL, FOWLMERE  
on TUESDAY 2<sup>nd</sup> APRIL 2019 at 7:30pm

PRESENT: Cllr D Roberts (Chairman), Cllr L Wragg, Cllr P Burge, Cllr T Bearpark, Cllr M Vinton and Cllr S Mulholland arrived at 19:32

APOLOGIES: Cllr M Sunderland, Cllr C Howe and Cllr P Collinson

IN ATTENDANCE Ms K Byrne (Clerk) and 10 members of the public including Mr Foulsham who left the meeting at 19:43

**1. Declarations of interest (*if any*)**

Cllr Roberts declared a non-pecuniary interest in item 3.

Cllr Roberts declared that if an application is later placed before South Cambridgeshire District Council she will approach any new information afresh. Also, because Cllr Roberts is a District Cllr at SCDC, the same applies and she will look at any application, which goes to SCDC, afresh.

**2. S/0859/19/FL – 6, Thriplow Road, Fowlmere, SG8 7QT**

**Erection of a 2-storey side extension and single storey porch to principle elevation.**

**Mr Paul Foulsham**

The PC reviewed the application. Regarding the original application, the PC had been concerned about the size of the proposed building, and overdevelopment of the site in a flood plain (Aug 2018). Cllr Roberts said that this application is within the village envelope, the size has been reduced and the flood risk had been considered. Neighbours' comments indicated concerns about overshadowing, loss of privacy, parking (during development) and noise. Cllr Burge said that the revised plan has significantly reduced the size of the extension and what is being proposed is more modest and more in keeping with the area. The applicant said that this is encouraging as he had taken extensive advice and had reduced the planned extension considerably; he also said that there will be no ongoing parking problems, and he will speak to his neighbour about the noise.

Fowlmere Parish Council had no objections, and voted unanimously in favour of recommending approval.

**3. S/1022/19/TC – Eaden Cottage, High Street, Fowlmere, SG8 7SR**

**Removal of a small tree with a TPO**

**Mr McCreery**

Cllr Roberts left the room at 19:43 as she had declared an interest in this item. Cllr Wragg took over the chair.

The application details were read out. As the notification was dated 21 March and the consultation period was 21 days, the PC were given to understand that they had until 11 April to return their comments. However, on the SCDC planning portal today (2 April) it was noted that approval had already been given on 26 March, and a decision notice sent to the applicant. A neighbour was present at the meeting who stated that she had objected to SCDC on several occasions both verbally and in writing, firstly with regards to the tree

having been pruned very heavily down to the bare trunk, and then with regards to the applicant wishing to remove the tree completely. SCDC had not replied to any of these comments, and had not included any of them in the application details.

Cllr Wragg said that PC will express their concerns in a letter to SCDC asking the following questions:

1. Whether approval should have been sought before the first heavy pruning of this tree, which is located in a conservation area, and if so why this had not been done?
2. Why SCDC had cut short the consultation period and had thereby not abided by due process?
3. Why the neighbour's comments submitted to SCDC has not been included in the application?

#### **ACTION – PC to write a letter to SCDC**

Cllr Roberts re-entered the room at 19:50 and resumed the chair.

#### **4. Other Matters and Updates**

Cllr Roberts said that Cllr Wragg and herself had attended the hearing for the Grain Store planning appeal that day (2 April).

The hearing had run from 10:00am until 15:00 with full representation from the applicant and 2 solicitors representing the Parish Councils (Foxton and Fowlmere). Cllr Wragg said that everybody had had a good opportunity to be heard. Although agricultural buildings are not inappropriate in the green belt there needs be very special circumstances for permitting them, which in this case the application did not appear to demonstrate.

The Planning Inspector had made a site visit before Christmas, and again that morning, including the existing site, and was to return again that afternoon to inspect the site with regards to the view that is under threat should the application be approved. The decision from the appeal is awaited.

Cllr Roberts asked the members of the public if there was a particular reason why they had attended the meeting. One member of the public asked whether the 30mph speed limit signs on London Road could be moved to beyond Appleacre Park? Cllr Burge explained that the PC had requested this very thing but had been advised by a CCC Highways officer that 30mph signs can only be positioned where there is housing on both sides of the road, therefore these signs couldn't be moved. However, within the PC's traffic calming plan, a 40mph buffer zone has been proposed to cover this area (and the other two road entrances into the village).

The meeting closed at 20:00.